



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,085	12/28/2001	Yonas D. Seme	418268888US	3973
<div>45979      7590      06/15/2007</div> <div>PERKINS COIE LLP/MSFT</div> <div>P. O. BOX 1247</div> <div>SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>JACKSON, JAKIEDA R</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2626</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>06/15/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/035,085

Applicant(s)

SEME, YONAS D.

Examiner

Jakieda R. Jackson

Art Unit

2626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jakieda R. Jackson.

(3) Judy Kadoura.

(2) Maurice Pirio.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 37 and 48.


Identification of prior art discussed: Olivier (USPN 6,480,855).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**DAVID HUDSPETH**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was argued that Olivier does not teach "receiving by the first device from the second device during the established session an indication of the second translation preference". In particular Olivier teaches that the language preference only comes about during a new session (i.e. a new subscriber), and that information gets sent to the transient subscription. Once the transient subscription obtains the information, it gets stored in a profile. It was argued that even if Olivier did send the language preference via profile data included in the body of the message (column 13, lines 43-54), the receiver does not send a message back with the language preference, since the receiver is already a transient user and the language preference has been previously established (column 10, lines 28-42). No agreement was reached. .